Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/710,686	BARAK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ana M. Fortuna	1723
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Ana M. Fortuna</u> .	(3)	
(2) Sonali S. Srivastava.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 July 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112 rejections.		
Claims discussed: all.		
Prior art documents discussed: none.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE the attached Examiner's amendment was proposed to Srivasta the chages were agreed by the Attorney.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)